

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/980,991	12/0	5/2001	Bertram Sugg	1787	2005
7	590	12/31/2002			
Striker Striker	•	,	EXAMINER		
103 East Neck Huntington, N				BUDD, MARI	K OSBORNE
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2834	
				DATE MAILED: 12/31/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet b	eneaut the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	1	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DAT
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by de Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	a reply within the statutory mi fault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fi statute, cause the application	nimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
☐ This action is FINAL.		
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exc accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1 		
Disposition of Claims		
Claim(s) 9-17	·	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		
□ Claim(s)		
□ Claim(s)		is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
Claim(s) 9 - 17		are subject to restriction or election requirement
Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ annmyed	·
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob		
	joolog to by the Externitor	•
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	<u>.</u>	
 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 	:	
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) 		a\/d)
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority. 		a)–(d).
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorical All □ Some* □ None of the: 	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorical community. □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been considered. 	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorical All □ Some* □ None of the: 	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (en received. en received in Application	
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorion. □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been under the priority documents. 	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (en received. en received in Application ents have been received	No
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorion. □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. 	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (en received. en received in Application ents have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	No 2(a))
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorion. □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the certi	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (en received. en received in Application ents have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	No 2(a))
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorion. □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the International *Certified copies not received:	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (en received. en received in Application ents have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	No 2(a))
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorion. □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the Internation* *Certified copies not received: 	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (en received. en received in Application ents have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	No 2(a))

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. ___6___

Application/Control Number: 09/980,991 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 9-15, drawn to a laminated piezoelectric element, classified in class 310, subclass 328.

II. Claims 16 and 17, drawn to a method for producing a piezoelectric element, classified in class 29, subclass 25.35.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the piezoelectric element of Group I can be made by methods other than those of Group II e.g. alternate layers of piezoelectric and electrode materials could be vapor deposited, or the piezo layers need not have come from the same sheet.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

RIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 212